Case 1:12-cr-00028-DNH Document 278 Filed 08/02/24 Page 1 of 1

AO 247 (Rev. 03/19) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of New York

United States of America

v. Timothy M. McGinn

Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any) Case No: DNYN112CR00028-001

USM No: 19470-052

Pro Se Defendant

Defendant's Attorney

## ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

08/07/2013

09/08/2015

Upon motion of  $\checkmark$  the defendant  $\square$  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  $\square$  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

*D*ENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment *(as reflected in months is reduced to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_)*.

## **REASON FOR MOTION DENIAL**

The defendant is not eligible for a sentence reduction because he does not meet all of the criteria to qualify as a Zero Point Offender. Specifically, he personally caused substantial financial hardship.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated **IT IS SO ORDERED**.

Order Date: <u>August 2, 2024</u>

Effective Date:

(if different from order date)

$\square$	1	1.	1	Λ
D	P/	1.4	int	/
David N.	Hurd	×γ		-
U.S. Dis	rict Jud	ge		

shall remain in effect

09/08/2015